

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,605	04/30/2001	Akira Ichikawa	Q64273 9350	
7590 08/15/2006		EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn			CHANG, VICTOR S	
Macpeak & Seas	s			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037-3213			1771	
		DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/830,605	ICHIKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor S. Chang	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply with	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. Applicants' response filed on 7/3/2006 has been entered. Claims 1, 2 and 4-14 are active.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Rejections Based on Prior Art

3. Claims 1, 2 and 4-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimura et al. (US 6065701) in view of Applicants' admission, generally as set forth in section 4 of Office action mailed 3/3/2006.

Tanimura's invention relates to a label comprising an integrated circuit (IC). The IC comprises a memory unit (data carrier element) and a signal processing unit [abstract]. Fig. 2 shows that the adhesive label has an antenna wiring (antenna coil) 30 and an IC 20 formed on a polyimide substrate 16, a "both faces adhesive material" (double sided) adhesive layer 17, and an exfoliative paper (release layer) 18. The adhesive layer 17 adheres the label to a video cassette tape [column 4, lines 7-8]. The label has a coated paper layer 12 as an outer surface layer for carrying information such as the title, recording date, and recording place [column 3, lines 52-56]. A non-contact (contactless) system used for the transfer of data to the semiconductor memory [column 2, lines 15-17]. Tanimura lacks a teaching that the adhesive layer 17 is in direct contact with the IC chip. However, Applicants have admitted in the "Background Art" section that while typically an entire contactless data carrier element is formed on one side of the

Art Unit: 1771

circuit substrate, alternatively the contactless data carrier element may be prepared by separately forming a part of an electric circuit on each side of the circuit substrate, and connecting one to the other via a through-hole, to thus integrate the separately formed parts (electronic components) [specification, pages 1-2, bridging paragraph]. In other words, applicants have admitted that it is known art that the data carrier element containing IC chip can be formed on either side of substrate and functions equivalently. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an alternative known equivalent arrangement of placing the data carrier element containing IC chip on the side of the substrate which is in direct contact with the adhesive layer 17, which reads on the instant invention as claimed. It should be noted that the selection of a known equivalent material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination. See MPEP § 2144.07.

Response to Argument

4. Referring to Office action mailed 3/3/2006, page 4, first paragraph, applicants stated at page 2, last paragraph that the examiner has agreed that the terms "electric circuit" and "electronic components" are two distinct and mutually exclusive terms. The examiner wish to clarify that in the quoted passage the examiner merely acknowledges the amendment to claims with specific electronic components is structurally clarifying: Specifically, in claim 1 the term "electronic components" has been amended as "IC chip", and in claim 6, the same term "electronic components" has been further clarified as comprising "IC chip" and "antenna coil", with their structural relationship also clarified. Nowhere has the examiner agreed to the terms "electric circuit" and "electronic components" as two distinct and mutually exclusive terms. In

Art Unit: 1771

fact, it inconceivable that an "electric circuit" is not formed of "electronic components", and an "electronic components" would not have contained certain "electric circuit" inside. In the absence of a clear definition for these terms, Applicants' argument appears to be semantic and unpersuasive.

Applicants argue (Remarks page 3) that the examiner's interpretation that "the separately formed parts" includes electronic components is illogical. However, in the absence of an express definition in the specification regarding the scope of the terms "components" and "parts", the examiner asserts that one of ordinary skill in the art of electronics would have recognized that the term electronic "components" is inherently the same as electronic "parts" for forming a data carrying electronic circuit, and the term logically includes both "IC chip" and "antenna coil", as shown in Fig. 2 of Tanimura, applicants' argument to the contrary is unpersuasive.

Applicants argue (Remarks page 4, third paragraph) that the examiner apparently relies on Fig. 3, which represents the embodiment for "Applicants' admission", of the instant application is improper. However, the relied upon passage is entirely from the "Background Art" section, applicants argument is unpersuasive.

Applicants argue (Remarks page 4, fourth paragraph) that there is no indication or suggestion that the adhesive layer 5 may be rearranged. However, there is no "adhesive layer 5" present in Tanimura's invention, applicants' argument is not understood.

Finally, applicants argue (Remarks page 5, third paragraph) that the Declaration by Mr. Masateru Yamakage demonstrates the unexpected superiority of the present invention and supports the patentability. However, since nowhere can a declaration by Mr. Masateru

Art Unit: 1771

Yamakage be found with the present application, applicants' arguments relating to the declaration cannot be considered.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,605

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor S Chang (

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

8/4/2006

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700